PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0654-0031 rademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

A THORN

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no	persons are required to respond to a co	Illection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.
	Application Number	10/675,588
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	September 30, 2003
FORM	First Named Inventor	Mickey Darvial
	Art Unit	3634
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filin	Examiner Name	Sarah L. Purol
	Attorney Docket Number	44046 103 250 1

Total Number of Pages in This Sub	omission 20	44040.103.230.1		
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)				
X Fee Transmittal Form X Fee Attached X Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declarat Extension of Time Reques Express Abandonment Re Information Disclosure Sta Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing F under 37 CFR 1.5	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Attended Papers Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CE Remarks	After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter X Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): Statement of Substance of Interview and Return Postcard		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
Firm Name Fredrikson & Byron				
Signature Salary				
John S. Parzych				
Date 5/1 00		Reg. No. 52,097		
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:				
Signature	22 Schult			
Typed or printed name Jill S	Schultz	Date 05/01/2006		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Applica	tion of:) Examiner: Purol, Sarah L.
	Darvial) Attorney Docket: 44046.103.250.1
Serial No.:	10/675,588) Art Unit: 3634
Filing Date:	September 30, 2003	
	LING SYSTEM AND TED METHODS	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:
Comm P.O. E	Stop Amendment hissioner for Patents fox 1450 hdria, VA 22313-1450	deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office hand delivered to the Patent and Trademark Office on this

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Applicant's representatives would like to thank Examiner Purol for extending the courtesy of a telephonic interview on April 10, 2006 to discuss this case. The following recordation of the substance of the interview is believed to be complete and proper in accordance with MPEP 713.04. It is requested the Examiner notify the undersigned if the Examiner believes this statement contains any inaccuracies or if the Examiner believes this statement is otherwise not complete and proper.

Interview Participants: (1) Examiner Sarah L. Purol and (2) Applicant's attorney, John S. Parzych.

Agreement was not reached during the interview.

No exhibit or demonstration was shown during the interview.

US Patent Nos. 5,924,577 (Gessert) and 6,739,463 (Wishart et al.) were discussed during the interview.

Claims 1 and 14, among others, were discussed during the interview.

During the interview, Applicant's attorney explained that none of the cited references, used solely or in combination, teach racking systems having a central wall from which separating planks and supporting planks could be mounted with respect to each other at distinct heights as well as at distinct lateral positions. In turn, if the above-described feature were built into a new independent claim along with the elements of independent claim 1, Applicant's attorney asserted that such new independent claim should be patentable in light of the cited references. Examiner Purol stated that she would consider such new independent claim accordingly.

No other pertinent matters were discussed during the interview.

Dated: 5/1/06

Respectfully submitted,

John S. Parzych

Registration No. 52,097 Fredrikson & Byron, P.A.

200 Second Avenue South, Suite 4000

Minneapolis, MN 55402

(612) 492-7279

4024006_1.DOC

Serial No.: 10/675,588

Page 2 of 2